IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, 1030 15th Street NW, B255 Washington, DC 20005)))
	Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 17-1261
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECT AGENCY, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20460	TION))))
	Defendant.)))

COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff American Oversight brings this action against the Environmental Protection Agency under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, seeking declaratory and injunctive relief to compel compliance with the requirements of FOIA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 2201, and 2202.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).
- 4. Because Defendant has failed to comply with the applicable time-limit provisions of the FOIA, American Oversight is deemed to have exhausted its administrative remedies pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and is now entitled to judicial action enjoining the agency

from continuing to withhold agency records and ordering the production of agency records improperly withheld.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff American Oversight is a nonpartisan organization committed to the promotion of transparency in government, the education of the public about government activities, and ensuring the accountability of government officials. Through research and FOIA requests, American Oversight will use the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public about the activities and operations of the federal government through reports, published analyses, press releases, and other media. The organization is incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia, and its application for section 501(c)(3) status is pending with the Internal Revenue Service.
- 6. Defendant Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is an agency of the federal government within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1) headquartered in Washington, DC. EPA has possession, custody, and control of the records that American Oversight seeks.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. As described below, American Oversight filed two FOIA requests seeking documents that would shed light on a matter of significant public concern: the extent to which individuals associated with industry interests, such as fossil fuel firms, have access to the Trump administration and EPA and are influencing federal environmental protection regulations—including those that significantly affect their own firms.
- 8. Scott Pruitt was confirmed as Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency in February 2017.

- 9. Mr. Pruitt previously served as the Attorney General of Oklahoma from January 2011 until February 2017. In February 2017, an Oklahoma judge ordered release of thousands of emails from Mr. Pruitt's official state email account. These emails show that during his tenure at attorney general, Mr. Pruitt coordinated closely with energy producers, utilities, and political groups, including holding secret meetings with industry executives and receiving materials aimed at weakening various federal environmental protection regulations.
- 10. Carl Icahn has reportedly been serving as a special adviser to President Trump on regulatory matters over the past several months and, according to multiple media reports, has provided input on certain environmental regulations that would significantly benefit his own company, CVR Energy Inc.
- 11. On May 24, 2017, *BloombergMarkets* reported that as a result of Mr. Icahn's role advising the Trump administration, CVR Energy Inc. has reaped substantial financial benefits and the value of Mr. Icahn's holdings in the company have increased significantly.

Pruitt Calendars & Logs FOIA

- 12. On April 5, 2017, American Oversight submitted a FOIA request ("Pruitt FOIA") to EPA seeking access to the following records:
 - 1) All calendars or calendar entries for Scott Pruitt; Acting Deputy Administrator Mike Flynn; Acting Chief of Staff John Reeder; or any political or SES appointees in the Office of the Administrator, including any calendars maintained on behalf of these individuals (e.g., by an administrative assistant). For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars —we request the production of any calendar —paper or elec tronic, whether on government-issued or personal devices—used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business.
 - 2) Any logs or other records tracking incoming and outgoing telephone calls made by Scott Pruitt, Acting Deputy Administrator Mike

Flynn, Acting Chief of Staff John Reeder, any political or SES appointees in the Office of the Administrator, or anyone placing or receiving telephone calls on behalf of those individuals.

The request sought records from February 17, 2017, to the date of the search. A copy of the Pruitt FOIA request is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein.

- 13. EPA assigned the Pruitt FOIA request the tracking number EPA-HQ-2017-005777.
- 14. On May 17, 2017, EPA sent a letter to American Oversight granting American Oversight's request for a fee waiver under 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(1) in connection with the Pruitt FOIA request.
- 15. American Oversight has received no further communication from EPA regarding the processing of the Pruitt FOIA request.

Icahn FOIA

- 16. On April 5, 2017, American Oversight submitted a FOIA request ("Icahn FOIA") to EPA seeking access to the following records:
 - 1) All communications between (1) any political or SES appointee in the Office of the Administrator (including but not limited t o Scott Pruitt, Acting Deputy Administrator Mike Flynn, the Acting Chief of Staff John Reeder) or the Office of Air and Radiation (including but not limited to Acting Assistant Administrator Sarah Dunham), as well as anyone acting on behalf of those individuals, and (2) Carl Icahn; any individual acting on behalf of Mr. Icahn; any officer, director, or employee of Icahn Enterprises or CVR Energy, Inc.; or any individual acting on behalf of Icahn Enterprises or CVR Energy.
 - 2) All communications between (1) any political or SES appointee in the Office of the Administrator (including but not limited to Scott Pruitt, Acting Deputy Administrator Mike Flynn, and Acting Chief of Staff John Reeder) or the Office of Air and Radiation (including but not limited to Actin g Assistant Administrator Sarah Dunham), as well as anyone acting on behalf of those individuals, and (2) and any officer, director, or employee of Valero Energy or anyone acting on behalf of Valero Energy.

The request sought records from February 17, 2017, to the date of the search. A copy of the Icahn FOIA request is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein.

- 17. American Oversight sought expedited processing of its Icahn FOIA request under 40 C.F.R. § 2.104(e) and requested a waiver of fees associated with processing its request under 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l).
- 18. EPA assigned the Icahn FOIA request the tracking number EPA-HQ-2017-005774.
- 19. On April 13, 2017, EPA sent American Oversight an email indicating that EPA required clarification of the Icahn FOIA request in order to process that request. Between April 17, 2017 and April 25, 2017, American Oversight clarified the scope of the Icahn FOIA request and negotiated with EPA regarding search terms to be used to identify responsive records.
- 20. On April 25, 2017, EPA confirmed its understanding of American Oversight's clarification and agreed to submit the search for processing.
- 21. On April 26, 2017, EPA granted American Oversight's request for expedited processing of the Icahn FOIA request and for waiver of fees associated with processing that request.
- 22. American Oversight has received no further communication from EPA regarding the processing of the Icahn FOIA request.

Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

23. EPA has not responded to American Oversight's FOIA requests described in paragraphs 12 and 16, notwithstanding the obligation of the agency under FOIA to respond within twenty working days.

24. Through EPA's failure to respond to American Oversight's FOIA requests within the time period required by law, American Oversight has constructively exhausted its administrative remedies and seeks immediate judicial review.

COUNT I Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552 Failure to Conduct Adequate Search for Records Responsive to Pruitt FOIA

- 25. American Oversight repeats the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs and incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. American Oversight properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.
- 27. Defendant is an agency subject to FOIA and must therefore make reasonable efforts to search for requested records.
- 28. Defendant has failed to review promptly agency records for the purpose of locating those records which are responsive to American Oversight's Pruitt FOIA request.
- 29. Defendant's failure to conduct an adequate search for responsive records violates FOIA.
- 30. Plaintiff American Oversight is therefore entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief requiring Defendant to promptly make reasonable efforts to search for records responsive to American Oversight's Pruitt FOIA request.

COUNT II Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552 Wrongful Withholding of Non-Exempt Records Responsive to Pruitt FOIA

31. American Oversight repeats the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs and incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.

- 32. American Oversight properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.
- 33. Defendant is an agency subject to FOIA and must therefore release in response to a FOIA request any disclosable records and provide a lawful reason for withholding any materials.
- 34. Defendant is wrongfully withholding agency records requested by American Oversight by failing to produce records responsive to its Pruitt FOIA request.
 - 35. Defendant's failure to provide all responsive records violates FOIA.
- 36. Plaintiff American Oversight is therefore entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief requiring Defendant to promptly produce all non-exempt records responsive to its Pruitt FOIA request and provide indexes justifying the withholding of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption.

COUNT III Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552 Failure to Conduct Adequate Search for Records Responsive to Icahn FOIA

- 37. American Oversight repeats the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs and incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. American Oversight properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.
- 39. Defendant is an agency subject to FOIA and must therefore make reasonable efforts to search for requested records.
- 40. Defendant has failed to review promptly agency records for the purpose of locating those records which are responsive to American Oversight's Icahn FOIA request.

- 41. Defendant's failure to conduct an adequate search for responsive records violates FOIA.
- 42. Plaintiff American Oversight is therefore entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief requiring Defendant to promptly make reasonable efforts to search for records responsive to American Oversight's Icahn FOIA request.

COUNT IV Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552 Wrongful Withholding of Non-Exempt Records Responsive to Icahn FOIA

- 43. American Oversight repeats the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs and incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. American Oversight properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.
- 45. Defendant is an agency subject to FOIA and must therefore release in response to a FOIA request any disclosable records and provide a lawful reason for withholding any materials.
- 46. Defendant is wrongfully withholding agency records requested by American Oversight by failing to produce records responsive to its Icahn FOIA request.
 - 47. Defendant's failure to provide all responsive records violates FOIA.
- 48. Plaintiff American Oversight is therefore entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief requiring Defendant to promptly produce all non-exempt records responsive to its Icahn FOIA request and provide indexes justifying the withholding of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption.

REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, American Oversight respectfully requests the Court to:

- (1) Order Defendant to conduct a search reasonably calculated to uncover all records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests submitted to EPA on April 5, 2017;
- (2) Order Defendant to produce, within twenty days of the Court's order, or by such other date as the Court deems appropriate, any and all non-exempt records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests and indexes justifying the withholding of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption;
- (3) Enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests;
- (4) Award American Oversight the costs of this proceeding, including reasonable attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and
- (5) Grant American Oversight such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 27, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sara Kaiser Creighton

Sara Kaiser Creighton

D.C. Bar No. 1002367

Elizabeth France

D.C. Bar No. 999851

John E. Bies

D.C. Bar No. 483730

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

1030 15th Street NW, B255

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 869-5246

sara.creighton@americanoversight.org

beth.france@americanoversight.org john.bies@americanoversight.org Counsel for Plaintiff

Exhibit A



April 5, 2017

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Records, FOIA, and Privacy Branch Office of Environmental Information Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW (2822T) Washington, DC 20460 hq.foia@epa.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Freedom of Information Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq. and the implementing regulations for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 40 C.F.R. Part 2, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

During his tenure as Oklahoma's Attorney General, Scott Pruitt reportedly used his official office as a conduit for industry interests to provide input into government decisionmaking, regularly consulting directly with fossil fuel firms regarding regulations affecting that industry. Recent reports indicate that the Trump administration may be giving similar favored access and consideration to the fossil fuel industry: In recent months billionaire Carl Icahn has been serving as a special adviser to President Trump on regulatory matters, and has reportedly provided input on certain environmental regulations that would significantly benefit his own company, CVR Energy Inc. Am. Icahn reportedly has special access to the EPA on these matters.

https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-02-28/trump-said-to-consider-biofuel-plan-between-icahn-ethanol-group.



 $1030 \hspace{-0.07cm} \rule[-0.2cm]{0.1cm}{.1cm} 1030 \hspace{-0.07cm} \rule[-0.2cm]{0.1cm}{.1cm} 5 th \hspace{-0.07cm} \rule[-0.2cm]{0.1cm}{.1cm} Euite \hspace{-0.07cm} \rule[-0.2cm]{0.1cm}{.1cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm}{.1cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm}{.1cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm}{.1cm}{.1cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm}{.1cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm} 255, \hspace{-0.07cm}$

See, e.g., Coral Davenport & Eric Lipton, The Pruitt Emails: E.P.A. Chief Was Arm in Arm with Industry, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 22, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/22/us/politics/scott-pruitt-environmental-protection-agency.html; Brady Dennis & Steven Mufson, Thousands of Emails Detail EPA Head's Close Ties to Fossil Fuel Industry, WASH. POST, Feb. 22, 2017, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/energy-environment/wp/2017/02/22/oklahoma-attorney-generals-office-releases-7500-pages-of-emails-between-scott-pruitt-and-fossil-fuel-industry/Putm_term=.187c5a8084fb; Natasha Geiling, Scott Pruitt's Record Reveals a Long History of Industry Favoritism, ThinkProgress, Jan. 18, 2017, https://thinkprogress.org/scott-pruitt-epa-oklahoma-record-386f13c8cc1d#.kfhqkxuwc.

² Chris Isidore, Trump Taps Carl Icahn for Regulations Busting Post, CNNMONEY (Dec. 21, 2016, 5:36 PM), http://money.cnn.com/2016/12/21/news/economy/donald-trump-carlicahn/?iid=EL.

³ See, e.g., Jennifer Dlouhy & Mario Parker, Trump Said to Consider Biofuel Plan Between Icahn, Ethanol Group, Bloomberg (Feb. 27, 2017, 8:50 PM),

American Oversight is seeking information to determine the scope of access Mr. Pruitt and the EPA have provided to industry groups and others with a stake in environmental regulation.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that EPA produce the following within twenty business days:

- 1. All calendars or calendar entries for Scott Pruitt; Acting Deputy Administrator Mike Flynn; Acting Chief of Staff John Reeder; or any political or SES appointees in the Office of the Administrator, including any calendars maintained on behalf of these individuals (e.g., by an administrative assistant). For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars—we request the production of any calendar—paper or electronic, whether on government-issued or personal devices—used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business.
- 2. Any logs or other records tracking incoming and outgoing telephone calls made by Scott Pruitt, Acting Deputy Administrator Mike Flynn, Acting Chief of Staff John Reeder, any political or SES appointees in the Office of the Administrator, or anyone placing or receiving telephone calls on behalf of those individuals.

Please provide all responsive records from February 17, 2017, to the date the search is conducted.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If your agency uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.

Please search all records regarding agency business. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files is subject to the

Federal Records Act and FOIA. It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations. ⁵

In addition, please note that in conducting a "reasonable search" as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered EPA prior FOIA practices unreasonable. In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches. Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Agency (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians' files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but EPA's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that EPA use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information "only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption" or "disclosure is prohibited by law." If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415

⁴ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

⁵ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) ("The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official's] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official's] work email account." (citations omitted)).

⁶ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

⁷ FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114–185).

U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a Vaughn index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA." Moreover, the Vaughn index "must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." Further, "the withholding agency must supply 'a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply."

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a Vaughn index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, EPA is on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and EPA can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on rolling basis.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a

4

⁸ Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

⁹ King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223–24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original).

¹⁰ Id. at 224 (citing Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

¹¹ Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261.

significant way.¹² Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.¹³

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding" of government." The public interest in Mr. Icahn's activities is evidenced by the Trump administration's public announcement of his appointment, as well as the significant public attention since that time. The interest in how Mr. Pruitt is running the EPA given his conduct as Oklahoma Attorney General is plentiful. The American people deserve to know which outside individuals and groups are communicating with our nation's top regulators. This request seeks information that will shed light on which interests are shaping our environmental policy.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.¹⁷ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight will use the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight will also make materials it gathers available on our public website and promote their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.¹⁸ One example of American Oversight's demonstrated public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content is in its recently launched "Audit the Wall" effort, where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.¹⁹

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Sara Creighton at foia@americanoversight.org or (202) 869-5246. Also, if American

^{12 40} C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(1).

¹³ 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(1).

¹⁴ 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(i)-(iv).

¹⁵ See, e.g., Isadore, supra note 2; Eric Lipton, Icahn Raises Ethics Flags With Dual Roles as Investor and Trump Adviser, N.Y. TIMES, Mar. 26, 2017,

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/26/us/politics/carl-icahn-trump-adviser-red-flags-ethics.html.

¹⁶ See supra note 1.

¹⁷ 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(I)(3)(i)-(ii).

American Oversight currently has over 10,400 page likes on Facebook, and over 9,400 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited Apr. 3, 2017); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER (last visited Apr. 3, 2017).

¹⁹ Audit the Wall, American Oversight, www.auditthewall.org (last visited Apr. 3, 2017).

Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Austin R. Evers Executive Director American Oversight

Exhibit B



April 5, 2017

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Records, FOIA, and Privacy Branch
Office of Environmental Information
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW (2822T)
Washington, DC 20460
hq.foia@epa.gov

Re: Expedited Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Freedom of Information Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq. and the implementing regulations for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 40 C.F.R. Part 2, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

During his tenure as Oklahoma's Attorney General, Scott Pruitt reportedly used his official office as a conduit for industry interests to provide input into government decisionmaking, regularly consulting directly with fossil fuel firms regarding regulations affecting that industry. Recent reports indicate that the Trump administration may be giving similar favored access and consideration to the fossil fuel industry: In recent months billionaire Carl Icahn has been serving as a special adviser to President Trump on regulatory matters, and has reportedly provided input on certain environmental regulations that would significantly benefit his own company, CVR Energy Inc. Irahn reportedly has special access to the EPA on these matters.

³ See, e.g., Jennifer Dlouhy & Mario Parker, Trump Said to Consider Biofuel Plan Between Icahn, Ethanol Group, BLOOMBERG (Feb. 27, 2017, 8:50 PM),



1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005 | AmericanOversight.org

See, e.g., Coral Davenport & Eric Lipton, The Pruitt Emails: E.P.A. Chief Was Arm in Arm with Industry, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 22, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/22/us/politics/scott-pruitt-environmental-protection-agency.html; Brady Dennis & Steven Mufson, Thousands of Emails Detail EPA Head's Close Ties to Fossil Fuel Industry, WASH. POST, Feb. 22, 2017, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/energy-environment/wp/2017/02/22/oklahoma-attorney-generals-office-releases-7500-pages-of-emails-between-scott-pruitt-and-fossil-fuel-industry/?utm_term=.187c5a8084fb; Natasha Geiling, Scott Pruitt's Record Reveals a Long History of Industry Favoritism, ThinkProgress, Jan. 18, 2017, https://thinkprogress.org/scott-pruitt-epa-oklahoma-record-386f13c8cc1d#.kfhqkxuwc.

² Chris Isidore, Trump Taps Carl Icahn for Regulations Busting Post, CNNMONEY (Dec. 21, 2016, 5:36 PM), http://money.cnn.com/2016/12/21/news/economy/donald-trump-carlicahn/?iid=EL.

American Oversight is seeking information to determine the scope of access Mr. Pruitt and the EPA have provided to industry groups and others with a stake in environmental regulation.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that EPA produce the following within twenty business days and seeks expedited review of this request for the reasons identified below:

- 1. All communications between (1) any political or SES appointee in the Office of the Administrator (including but not limited to Scott Pruitt, Acting Deputy Administrator Mike Flynn, the Acting Chief of Staff John Reeder) or the Office of Air and Radiation (including but not limited to Acting Assistant Administrator Sarah Dunham), as well as anyone acting on behalf of those individuals, and (2) Carl Icahn; any individual acting on behalf of Mr. Icahn; any officer, director, or employee of Icahn Enterprises or CVR Energy, Inc.; or any individual acting on behalf of Icahn Enterprises or CVR Energy.
- 2. All communications between (1) any political or SES appointee in the Office of the Administrator (including but not limited to Scott Pruitt, Acting Deputy Administrator Mike Flynn, and Acting Chief of Staff John Reeder) or the Office of Air and Radiation (including but not limited to Acting Assistant Administrator Sarah Dunham), as well as anyone acting on behalf of those individuals, and (2) and any officer, director, or employee of Valero Energy or anyone acting on behalf of Valero Energy.

Please provide all responsive records from February 17, 2017, to the date the search is conducted.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If your agency uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.

https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-02-28/trump-said-to-consider-biofuel-planbetween-icahn-ethanol-group.

Please search all records regarding agency business. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files is subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.⁴ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedu res that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, th rough negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.⁵

In addition, please note that in conducting a "reasonable search" as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered EPA prior FOIA practices unreasonable. In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reason able to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches. Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Agency (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more comple te than individual custodians' files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but EPA's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that EPA use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information "only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption"

⁴ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149—50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955—56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

⁵ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) ("The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official's] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official's] work email account." (citations omitted)).

⁶ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

or "disclosure is prohibited by law." If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a Vaughn index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA." Moreover, the Vaughn index "must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." Further, "the withholding agency must supply 'a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply."

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a Vaughn index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, EPA is on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and EPA can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on rolling basis.

4

⁷ FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114–185).

Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

^o King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223–24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original).

¹⁰ Id. at 224 (citing Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

¹¹ Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(I), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way.¹² Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.¹³

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding" of government." The public interest in Mr. Icahn's activities is evidenced by the Trump administration's public announcement of his appointment, as well as the significant public attention since that time. The interest in how Mr. Pruitt is running the EPA given his conduct as Oklahoma Attorney General is plentiful. The American people deserve to know which outside individuals and groups are communicating with our nation's top regulators. This request seeks information that will shed light on which interests are shaping our environmental policy.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight will use the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight will also make materials it gathers available on our public website and promote their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter. One example of American Oversight's demonstrated public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content is in its recently launched "Audit the Wall" effort, where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

^{12 40} C.F.R. § 2.107(I)(1).

^{13 40} C.F.R. § 2.107(I)(1).

^{14 40} C.F.R. § 2.107(I)(2)(i)-(iv).

¹⁵ See, e.g., Isadore, supra note 2; Eric Lipton, Icahn Raises Ethics Flags With Dual Roles as Investor and Trump Adviser, N.Y. TIMES, Mar. 26, 2017,

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/26/us/politics/carl-icahn-trump-adviser-red-flags-ethics.html.

¹⁶ See supra note 1.

¹⁷ 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(I)(3)(i)-(ii).

¹⁸ American Oversight currently has over 10,400 page likes on Facebook, and over 9,400 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited Apr. 3, 2017); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter (last visited Apr. 3, 2017).

¹⁹ Audit the Wall, American Oversight, www.auditthewall.org (last visited Apr. 3, 2017).

Application for Expedited Processing

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(1) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.104(e), American Oversight requests that EPA expedite the processing of this request.

I certify to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, that there is an urgent need to inform the public about the federal government activity that is the subject of this request. President Trump just announced plans to dismantle many environmental regulations passed under the Obama administration. There will therefore be extensive (de)regulatory activity at the EPA in the months to come, and the public deserves to know which interests will be involved in shaping that process.

I further certify that American Oversight is primarily engaged in disseminating information to the public. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. Similar to other organizations that have been found to satisfy the criteria necessary to qualify for expedition, American Oversight "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience. American Oversight will use the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, and other media. American Oversight will also make materials it gathers available on our public website and promote their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter. One example of American Oversight's demonstrated public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content is in its recently launched "Audit the Wall" effort, where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.

Accordingly, American Oversight's request satisfies the criteria for expedition.

Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Sara Creighton at foia@americanoversight.org or (202) 869-5246. Also, if American

²⁰ See, e.g., Jacqueline Alemany, Trump Executive Order Will Dismantle Obama Environmental Regulations, CBSNEws (Mar. 27, 2017, 11:40PM), http://www.cbsnews.com/news/trump-executive-order-will-dismantle-obama-environmental-regulations/.

²¹ See ACLU v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 30—31 (D.D.C. 2004); EPIC v. Dep't of Defense, 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 15 (D.D.C. 2003).

ACLU, 321 F. Supp. 2d at 29 n.5 (quoting EPIC, 241 F. Supp. 2d at 11).

²³ American Oversight currently has over 10,400 page likes on Facebook, and over 9,400 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited Apr. 3, 2017); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter (last visited Apr. 3, 2017).

²⁴ Audit the Wall, American Oversight, www.auditthewall.org (last visited Apr. 3, 2017).

Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Austin R. Evers Executive Director American Oversight

ATTACHMENT E

CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS-44 (Rev. 3/16 DC)										
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDA	NTS					
American Oversight				U.S. Environmental Protection Agency						
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF 11001 (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE, D	LAND CONDE	(IN U.S MNATIANM	PLAIN	STED DEFENDANT FIFF CASES ONLY) THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF L	AND INVOLV	/ED
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NA	AME, ADDRES	S, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)		ATTORNEYS	(IF KNOW	N)				
Sara Kaiser Creigh American Oversigh Washington, DC 20 202.869.5246	it, 1030 15	oth Street NW, B255								
II. BASIS OF JURIS (PLACE AN x IN ONE		,			K FOR DEF	ENDANT		IES (PLACE AN x IN ONE E IVERSITY CASES ONLY!		
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		ederal Question J.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of	this State	O1	O:		orated or Principal Place	O ₄	O ₄
2 U.S. Government		Diversity Indicate Citizenship of	Citizen of	Another State	O2	O ₂	Incorp	iness in This State orated and Principal Place	O5	O5
Determin		Parties in item III)	Citizen or Foreign C	Subject of a	O3	O 3		iness in Another State n Nation	O6	O ₆
						_ ^				
(Place an X	in one cate	IV. CASE ASSIG						nonding Nature of Sui	o o	
O A. Antitrust	O B. F	Personal Injury/ falpractice	_	C. Adminis Review				O D. Temporary Order/Preli	Restra	
410 Antitrust	310 AI	irplane		51 Medicare	Act			Injunction		
		irplane Product Liability			Any nature of suit from	Any nature of suit from any category				
]		ssault, Libel & Slander	Social Security [77] 861 HIA (1395ff)		may be selected for this category of case					
	330 Fe	deral Employers Liability	862 Black Lung (923)		assignment.					
	-	arine Product Liability		63 DIWC/DI		g))		*(If Antitrust, then A g	overns)*	
	-	otor Vehicle		864 SSID Title						
	***************************************	otor Vehicle Product Liability		165 RSI (405(g <u>: Statutes</u>))					
İ		ther Personal Injury	891 Agricultural Acts							
		edical Malpractice oduct Liability	893 Environmental Matters							
		ealth Care/Pharmaceutical	890 Other Statutory Actions (If							
	Andrews .	rsonal Injury Product Liabili	ty	Administrative Agency is						
	368 As	bestos Product Liability	involved)							
O E. General Cit	vil (Other)	OR		O F. Pro	So Gono	ral Cir	,il			
Real Property	(011101)	Bankruptcy	<u> </u>		e/Penalty	011				······
210 Land Condem	nation	422 Appeal 27 USC 15		625	Drug Rela			470 Racketeer In		
220 Foreclosure	E1	423 Withdrawal 28 US	C 157	1	Property 2	ei usc	381	& Corrupt O		ion
230 Rent, Lease &	r-jectment	Prisoner Petitions		— 690	otne r			480 Consumer C		
245 Tort Product I	Liability	535 Death Penalty						850 Securities/Co		ies/
290 All Other Real		540 Mandamus & Oth	er	Other St		A		Exchange		
D		550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conditions			False Clai Qui Tam (896 Arbitration	_	_
Personal Property 1555 Prison Conditions 1370 Other Fraud 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions 1560 Civil Detainee -		onditions		3729(a))			899 Administrati			
371 Truth in Lending of Confinement				State Rea	pportio	ment	Agency Decis	•••	. 01	
380 Other Personal Property			· · ·	Banks & I	_		950 Constitutions		tate	
Damage Property Rights 385 Property Damage 20 Copyrights			. —	Commerc Rates/etc.	e/ICC		Statutes			
Ses rioperty Damage			I —	Kates/etc. Deportatio	on.		890 Other Statute	-		
Product Liability 840 Trademark			462	Naturaliza Applicatio	tion		(if not admin review or Pri			
		Federal Tax Suits 870 Taxes (US plaintiff	for	465	Other Im: Actions		n			
1		defendant)								
1		871 IRS-Third Party 2	6 USC 7609)				1		

			,		
O G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255 530 Habeas Corpus - General 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence 463 Habeas Corpus - Allen Detaince	O H. Employment Discrimination 442 Civil Rights - Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation)	I. FOIA/Privacy Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act)	O J. Student Loan 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (excluding veterans)		
	(If pro se, select this deck)	*(If pro se, select this deck)*			
O K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment) 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Labor Railway Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	O L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment) 441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act) 443 Housing/Accommodations 440 Other Civil Rights 445 Americans w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Americans w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	O M. Contract 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Enforcement of Judgment 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholder's Suits 190 Other Contracts 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	O N. Three-Judge Court 441 Civil Rights – Voting (if Voting Rights Act)		
v. ORIGIN			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
O 1 Original Proceeding From State Court O 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Reopened Specify) O 2 Removed From State Court O 4 Reinstated or Reopened Specify O 5 Transferred from another district (specify) O 6 Multi-district O 7 Appeal to District Judge from Mag. Judge					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.) 5 U.S.C. 552. Defendant has failed to provide responsive records to a FOIA request.					
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND S JURY DEMAND: Check YES only if demanded in complaint YES NO X					
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instruction) IF ANY (See instruction) YES NO If yes, please complete related case form					
DATE: 6/27/2017	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF REC	cord Sk Creep 1th	<u> </u>		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44 Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident
 of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- 111. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the <u>primary</u> cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only <u>one</u> category. You <u>must</u> also select <u>one</u> corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

FOIA Summons 1/13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CLEAR FORM

	American Oversight	
	Plaintiff))
	V.) Civil Action No.
l	U.S. Environmental Protection	Agency)
	Defendant)
		SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
То:	(Defendant's name and address)	Channing D. Phillips U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia 555 4th Street NW Washington, DC 20530
	A lawsuit has been filed a	gainst you.
Civil 1	on the plaintiff an answer to	rsight eet NW, B255
compl		ment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the arranswer or motion with the court.
		ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

FOIA Summons (12/11) (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re		ne of individual and title, if any)		
was ic	cerved by me on (acte)	·		
	' I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)	
			on (date)	_ ; or
	' I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)	
			of suitable age and discretion who resid	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	the individual's last known address; or	•
	' I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beha	lf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	' I returned the sumr	nons unexecuted because		; or
	' Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.	
Date:			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print

Save As...

Reset

FOIA Summons 1/13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CLEAR FORM

American Oversight	
))
v.) Civil Action No.
U.S. Environmental Protection	Agency)
Defendant)
	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Jeff Sessions United States Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530
A lawsuit has been filed ag	gainst you.
serve on the plaintiff an answer to	ersight eet NW, B255
	gment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the answer or motion with the court.
	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

FOIA Summons (12/11) (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re		ne of individual and title, if any)		
was ic	cerved by me on (acte)	·		
	' I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)	
			on (date)	_ ; or
	' I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)	
			of suitable age and discretion who resid	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	the individual's last known address; or	•
	' I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beha	lf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	' I returned the sumr	nons unexecuted because		; or
	' Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.	
Date:			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print

Save As...

Reset

FOIA Summons 1/13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CLEAR FORM

American Oversight	
))
V.) Civil Action No.
U.S. Environmental Protection	n Agency
)
	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20460
A lawsuit has been filed a	ngainst you.
serve on the plaintiff an answer to Civil Procedure. The answer or a address are: Sara Creighto American Ov	ersight reet NW, B255
•	gment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the our answer or motion with the court.
	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

FOIA Summons (12/11) (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re		e of individual and title, if any)			
	' I personally served t	he summons on the individual a	t (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	' I left the summons a	t the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)		
		, a person o	f suitable age and discretion who residual	des there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	he individual's last known address; or		
	' I served the summon	ns on (name of individual)		, who is	
	designated by law to ac	ccept service of process on beha			
			on (date)	; or	
	' I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; or	
	' Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	T. 1. 1. 1. 1.				
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.		
D.					
Date:			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		
			Server's address		
Additi	onal information regardin	ng attempted service, etc:			

Print

Save As...

Reset